

## FORM 32. Response to Notice to Advise of Scheduling Conflicts

Form 32  
July 2020UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUITRESPONSE TO NOTICE TO ADVISE OF SCHEDULING CONFLICTSCase Number: 22-1521Short Case Caption: The Regents of the University of California v. ITC

<b>Party Name(s)</b>	International Trade Commission
<b>Name of Arguing Counsel</b>	Houda Morad
<b>Dates Unavailable</b>	<p>04/03/2023</p> <p>04/04/2023</p> <p>04/05/2023</p> <p>04/06/2023</p> <p>04/07/2023</p>
<b>Attach a statement showing good cause for each listed date.</b> Dates listed without a supporting statement will not be considered in scheduling argument. <i>See Fed. Cir. R. 34(d); Practice Notes to Rule 34.</i>	
<b>Potential Other Conflicts</b>	<p>Chamberlain Group, LLC v. ITC; Overhead Door Corporation v. ITC, Appeal Nos. 22-1664, 22-1656 (consolidated)</p>
Please list other pending cases before this court in which above counsel intends to argue (regardless of case status).	

I certify the above information and any attached statement is complete and accurate. I further certify that I will update my notice should new conflicts arise or existing conflicts change.

Date: 01/25/2023Signature: /s/ Houda MoradName: Houda Morad

## STATEMENT OF GOOD CAUSE

Good cause exists for my inability to attend oral argument on April 3-7, 2023. I have a planned family vacation abroad during that entire week.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Houda Morad  
Houda Morad  
Attorney Advisor  
Office of the General Counsel  
U.S. International Trade Commission  
500 E Street, SW  
Washington, DC 20436  
Tel: (202) 708-4716  
Fax: (202) 205-3111  
houda.morad@usitc.gov

*Counsel for Appellee  
International Trade Commission*

Date: January 25, 2023